

I certify that the attached is a true and
correct copy of HB 1189, which
was filed of record on FEB 16 1989
and referred to the committee on: Natural Resources 1989 MAR -1 AM 11: 39
Betty Murray HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
Chief Clerk of the House

FILED FEB 16 1989

By Mr. [Signature]

HB. No. 1189

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

relating to the authority of the Texas Water Commission over
issuance of certain water district bonds.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Section 50.107, Water Code, is amended to read as
follows:

Sec. 50.107. AUTHORITY OF COMMISSION OVER [COMMISSION
APPROVAL-OF] ISSUANCE OF DISTRICT BONDS. (a) Notwithstanding any
other law to the contrary, a district created under Article XVI,
Section 59, of the Texas Constitution, the boundaries of which do
not include the total area of the county in which the district is
located, may not issue bonds that will be paid wholly or partially
by taxes unless the commission determines that the project to be
financed by the bonds is feasible and issues an order approving the
bonds. This subsection does not apply to refunding bonds.

(b) A district governed by Subsection (a) may submit to the
commission a written application for investigation of feasibility.
An engineer's report describing the project, including the data,
profiles, maps, plans, and specifications prepared in connection
with the report, must be submitted with the application.

(c) The executive director shall examine the application and
the report and shall inspect the project area. The district shall,
on request, supply the executive director with additional data and
information necessary for an investigation of the application, the

1 engineer's report, and the project.

2 (d) The executive director shall prepare a written report on
3 the project and include suggestions, if any, for changes or
4 improvements in the project. The executive director shall retain a
5 copy of the report and send a copy of the report to both the
6 commission and the district.

7 (e) The commission shall consider the application, the
8 engineer's report, the executive director's report, and any other
9 evidence allowed by commission rule to be considered in determining
10 the feasibility of the project.

11 (f) The commission shall determine whether the project to be
12 financed by the bonds is feasible and issue an order either
13 approving or disapproving, as appropriate, the issuance of the
14 bonds. The commission shall retain a copy of the order and send a
15 copy of the order to the district.

16 (g) Notwithstanding any provision of this code to the
17 contrary, the commission may approve the issuance of bonds of a
18 district without the submission of plans and specifications of the
19 improvements to be financed with the bonds. [7--if--the--bond
20 proceeds--which--are--to--be--used--to--finance--the--improvements--for--which
21 plans--and--specifications--are--not--submitted--are--deposited--in--eserew
22 with--a--bank--under] The commission may condition the approval on
23 any terms or [and] conditions considered appropriate [ordered] by
24 the commission. [The--bond--proceeds--held--in--eserew--shall--be
25 released---to---the--district--when--plans--and--specifications--are
26 submitted--to--and--approved--by--the--commission.]

27 SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

1 SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the
2 crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an
3 emergency and an imperative public necessity that the
4 constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several
5 days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

80 APR 21 PM 5:00
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

HOUSE COMMITTEE REPORT

1st Printing

By Marchant

H.B. No. 1189

Substitute the following for H.B. No. 1189:

By Yost

C.S.H.B. No. 1189

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

relating to the authority of the Texas Water Commission over
issuance of certain water district bonds.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Section 50.107, Water Code, is amended to read as
follows:

Sec. 50.107. AUTHORITY OF COMMISSION OVER [COMMISSION
APPROVAL-OF] ISSUANCE OF DISTRICT BONDS. (a) Notwithstanding any
other law to the contrary, a district created under Article XVI,
Section 59, of the Texas Constitution, the boundaries of which
include less than the total area of one county, may not issue bonds
that will be paid wholly or partially by taxes levied by the
district unless the commission determines that the project to be
financed by the bonds is feasible and issues an order approving the
bonds. This section does not apply to refunding bonds.

(b) A district governed by Subsection (a) may submit to the
commission a written application for investigation of feasibility.
An engineer's report describing the project, including the data,
profiles, maps, plans, and specifications prepared in connection
with the report, must be submitted with the application.

(c) The executive director shall examine the application and
the report and shall inspect the project area. The district shall,
on request, supply the executive director with additional data and
information necessary for an investigation of the application, the

1 engineer's report, and the project.

2 (d) The executive director shall prepare a written report on
 3 the project and include suggestions, if any, for changes or
 4 improvements in the project. The executive director shall retain a
 5 copy of the report and send a copy of the report to both the
 6 commission and the district.

7 (e) The commission shall consider the application, the
 8 engineer's report, the executive director's report, and any other
 9 evidence allowed by commission rule to be considered in determining
 10 the feasibility of the project.

11 (f) The commission shall determine whether the project to be
 12 financed by the bonds is feasible and issue an order either
 13 approving or disapproving, as appropriate, the issuance of the
 14 bonds. The commission shall retain a copy of the order and send a
 15 copy of the order to the district.

16 (g) Notwithstanding any provision of this code to the
 17 contrary, the commission may approve the issuance of bonds of a
 18 district without the submission of plans and specifications of the
 19 improvements to be financed with the bonds. The commission may
 20 condition the approval on any [,-if-the-bond-proceeds-which-are--to
 21 be---used---to---finance--the--improvements--for--which--plans--and
 22 specifications-are-not-submitted-are-deposited--in--eserew--with--a
 23 bank--under] terms or [and] conditions considered appropriate
 24 [ordered] by the commission. [The-bond--proceeds--held--in--eserew
 25 shall-be-released-to-the-district-when-plans-and-specifications-are
 26 submitted-to-and-approved-by-the-commission-:]

27 (h) This section does not apply to a district created by a

1 special Act of the legislature if:

2 (1) the district is located entirely within one county
3 and entirely within one or more home-rule municipalities;

4 (2) the total taxable value of the real property and
5 improvements to the real property zoned by the one or more
6 home-rule municipalities for residential purposes and located
7 within the district does not exceed 25 percent of the total taxable
8 value of all taxable property in the district, as shown by the most
9 recent certified appraisal tax roll prepared by the appraisal
10 district for the county; and

11 (3) the district was not required by law to obtain
12 commission approval of its bonds before the effective date of this
13 subsection.

14 SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

15 SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the
16 crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an
17 emergency and an imperative public necessity that the
18 constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several
19 days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

COMMITTEE REPORT

The Honorable Gib Lewis
Speaker of the House of Representatives

4-19-89

(date)

Sir:

We, your COMMITTEE ON NATURAL RESOURCES,

to whom was referred HB 1189 have had the same under consideration and beg to report
(measure)
back with the recommendation that it

- () do pass, without amendment.
- () do pass, with amendment(s).
- ☒ do pass and be not printed; a Complete Committee Substitute is recommended in lieu of the original measure.

A fiscal note was requested. ☒ yes () no An actuarial analysis was requested. () yes ☒ no

An author's fiscal statement was requested. () yes ☒ no

A criminal justice policy impact statement was prepared. () yes ☒ no

A water development policy impact statement was requested. () yes ☒ no

() The Committee recommends that this measure be sent to the Committee on Local and Consent Calendars for placement on the () Local, () Consent, or () Resolutions Calendar.

This measure () proposes new law. ☒ amends existing law.

House Sponsor of Senate Measure _____

The measure was reported from Committee by the following vote:

	AYE	NAY	PNV	ABSENT
Smith, T., Ch.	X			
Willy, V.C.	X			
Collazo, C.B.O.				X
Culberson				X
Holzheuser	X			
Junell	X			
Swift	X			
Wentworth	X			
Yost	X			

Total
7 aye
0 nay
0 present, not voting
2 absent

Terral R...
CHAIRMAN
Deborah K. Wale
COMMITTEE COORDINATOR

HB 1189 By: Marchant
CSHB 1189 By: Yost

Committee on
Natural Resources

BILL ANALYSIS

Background

Although the Texas Water Commission has general supervisory jurisdiction over most water districts, current law does not provide that all such districts must obtain commission approval of bond issues.

Purpose of the Bill

This bill requires the Water Commission to review certain bonds issued by certain districts which are less than county-wide.

Section by Section Analysis

Section 1. Amends Section 50.107, Water Code to provide for Commission review of certain bonds to be paid by taxes which are issued by districts which do not include all of a county. Provides for review procedures. Certain special law districts are exempted.

Section 2. Effective date, September 1, 1989.

Section 3. Emergency clause.

Rulemaking Authority

It is the opinion of this committee that this bill does not delegate rulemaking authority to any state agency, officer, department, or institution.

Comparison of Substitute to Original

The substitute bill includes an exemption from commission bond approval for certain special law districts.

Summary of Committee Action

HB 1189 was considered in public hearing on March 29, 1989. Representative Marchant introduced his bill. The following persons testified in favor of the bill: Joe Allen, representing the Utility Districts Advisory Corp.; James Box, representing the Mischer Corp., and David Harper, representing the Assn. of Water Board Directors. HB 1189 was referred to subcommittee.

The subcommittee on HB 1189 met in formal meeting on March 29, 1989. Representative Yost presented a substitute version of the bill at that time. The substitute was adopted, and the motion to report the bill favorably, as substituted, to the full committee carried with a vote of 3 ayes, 0 nays, 0 PNV, and 0 absent.

The full committee took up the subcommittee report in public hearing on April 19, 1989. The subcommittee report was adopted,

and the motion to report the bill favorably, as substituted, to the House carried with a vote of 7 ayes, 0 nays, 0 PNV, and 2 absent.

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE

March 14, 1989

TO: Honorable Terral Smith, Chair
Committee on Natural Resources
House of Representatives
Austin, Texas

In Re: House Bill No. 1189
By: Marchant

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1189 (relating to the authority of the Texas Water Commission over issuance of certain water district bonds) this office has determined the following:

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

The bill would prohibit certain districts created under Article XVI, Section 59 of the Constitution from issuing bonds payable from taxes unless the Water Commission finds that the project to be financed is feasible and approves the bonds.

The bill would make an additional 186 districts subject to the Commission's bond review and approval authority. During the last two years these districts issued \$120,000,000 per year in tax bonds. Assuming the same level of bond issuance activity local governments would pay \$300,000 per year (0.25 percent x \$120,000,000) in fees to cover bond review costs. The cost to the Water Commission is the cost of reviewing financial data and engineering reports.

The probable fiscal implication of implementing the provisions of the bill during each of the first five years following passage is estimated as follows:

Fiscal Year	Probable Cost Out of Water Quality Fund No. 153	Probable Fee Revenue to Water Quality Fund No. 153	Probable Cost to Units of Local Government (Bond Issuance Fees)	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 1989
1990	\$183,799	\$300,000	\$300,000	+ 4
1991	168,799	300,000	300,000	+ 4
1992	168,799	300,000	300,000	+ 4
1993	168,799	300,000	300,000	+ 4
1994	168,799	300,000	300,000	+ 4

Similar annual fiscal implications would continue as long as the provisions of the bill are in effect.

Criminal Justice Policy Impact Statement: No change in the sanctions applicable to adults convicted of felony crimes is anticipated.

Source: Water Commission;
LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, WRC, LV

ADOPTED

MAY 4 1989

Buddy Murray
Chief Clerk
House of Representatives

By Marchant

H.B. No. 1189

Substitute the following for H.B. No. 1189:

By *Yost*

C.S.H.B. No. 1189

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

relating to the authority of the Texas Water Commission over
issuance of certain water district bonds.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Section 50.107, Water Code, is amended to read as
follows:

Sec. 50.107. AUTHORITY OF COMMISSION OVER [COMMISSION
APPROVAL-OF] ISSUANCE OF DISTRICT BONDS. (a) Notwithstanding any
other law to the contrary, a district created under Article XVI,
Section 59, of the Texas Constitution, the boundaries of which
include less than the total area of one county, may not issue bonds
that will be paid wholly or partially by taxes levied by the
district unless the commission determines that the project to be
financed by the bonds is feasible and issues an order approving the
bonds. This section does not apply to refunding bonds.

(b) A district governed by Subsection (a) may submit to the
commission a written application for investigation of feasibility.
An engineer's report describing the project, including the data,
profiles, maps, plans, and specifications prepared in connection
with the report, must be submitted with the application.

(c) The executive director shall examine the application and
the report and shall inspect the project area. The district shall,
on request, supply the executive director with additional data and
information necessary for an investigation of the application, the

Art. 16.10.10

1 engineer's report, and the project.

2 (d) The executive director shall prepare a written report on
3 the project and include suggestions, if any, for changes or
4 improvements in the project. The executive director shall retain a
5 copy of the report and send a copy of the report to both the
6 commission and the district.

7 (e) The commission shall consider the application, the
8 engineer's report, the executive director's report, and any other
9 evidence allowed by commission rule to be considered in determining
10 the feasibility of the project.

11 (f) The commission shall determine whether the project to be
12 financed by the bonds is feasible and issue an order either
13 approving or disapproving, as appropriate, the issuance of the
14 bonds. The commission shall retain a copy of the order and send a
15 copy of the order to the district.

16 (g) Notwithstanding any provision of this code to the
17 contrary, the commission may approve the issuance of bonds of a
18 district without the submission of plans and specifications of the
19 improvements to be financed with the bonds. The commission may
20 condition the approval on any [,-if-the-bond-proceeds-which-are--to
21 be---used---to---finance--the--improvements--for--which--plans--and
22 specifications-are-not-submitted-are-deposited--in--eserew--with--a
23 bank--under] terms or [and] conditions considered appropriate
24 [ordered] by the commission. [The-bond--proceeds--held--in--eserew
25 shall-be-released-to-the-district-when-plans-and-specifications-are
26 submitted-to-and-approved-by-the-commission.]

27 (h) This section does not apply to a district created by a

1 special Act of the legislature if:

2 (1) the district is located entirely within one county
3 and entirely within one or more home-rule municipalities;

4 (2) the total taxable value of the real property and
5 improvements to the real property zoned by the one or more
6 home-rule municipalities for residential purposes and located
7 within the district does not exceed 25 percent of the total taxable
8 value of all taxable property in the district, as shown by the most
9 recent certified appraisal tax roll prepared by the appraisal
10 district for the county; and

11 (3) the district was not required by law to obtain
12 commission approval of its bonds before the effective date of this
13 subsection.

14 SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

15 SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the
16 crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an
17 emergency and an imperative public necessity that the
18 constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several
19 days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

SENATE FILED
JAN 10 1968

ENGROSSED
SECOND READING

By Marchant

H.B. No. 1189

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

relating to the authority of the Texas Water Commission over
issuance of certain water district bonds.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Section 50.107, Water Code, is amended to read as
follows:

Sec. 50.107. AUTHORITY OF COMMISSION OVER [COMMISSION
APPROVAL-OF] ISSUANCE OF DISTRICT BONDS. (a) Notwithstanding any
other law to the contrary, a district created under Article XVI,
Section 59, of the Texas Constitution, the boundaries of which
include less than the total area of one county, may not issue bonds
that will be paid wholly or partially by taxes levied by the
district unless the commission determines that the project to be
financed by the bonds is feasible and issues an order approving the
bonds. This section does not apply to refunding bonds.

(b) A district governed by Subsection (a) of this section
may submit to the commission a written application for
investigation of feasibility. An engineer's report describing the
project, including the data, profiles, maps, plans, and
specifications prepared in connection with the report, must be
submitted with the application.

(c) The executive director shall examine the application and
the report and shall inspect the project area. The district shall,
on request, supply the executive director with additional data and

1 information necessary for an investigation of the application, the
2 engineer's report, and the project.

3 (d) The executive director shall prepare a written report on
4 the project and include suggestions, if any, for changes or
5 improvements in the project. The executive director shall retain a
6 copy of the report and send a copy of the report to both the
7 commission and the district.

8 (e) The commission shall consider the application, the
9 engineer's report, the executive director's report, and any other
10 evidence allowed by commission rule to be considered in determining
11 the feasibility of the project.

12 (f) The commission shall determine whether the project to be
13 financed by the bonds is feasible and issue an order either
14 approving or disapproving, as appropriate, the issuance of the
15 bonds. The commission shall retain a copy of the order and send a
16 copy of the order to the district.

17 (g) Notwithstanding any provision of this code to the
18 contrary, the commission may approve the issuance of bonds of a
19 district without the submission of plans and specifications of the
20 improvements to be financed with the bonds. The commission may
21 condition the approval on any [;--if-the-bond-proceeds-which-are-to
22 be--used--to--finance--the--improvements--for--which--plans--and
23 specifications--are--not--submitted--are-deposited-in-escrow-with-a
24 bank--under] terms or [and] conditions considered appropriate
25 [ordered] by the commission. [The-bond-proceeds-held-in-escrow
26 shall-be-released-to-the-district-when-plans-and-specifications-are
27 submitted-to-and-approved-by-the-commission.]

1 (h) This section does not apply to a district created by a
2 special Act of the legislature if:

3 (1) the district is located entirely within one county
4 and entirely within one or more home-rule municipalities;

5 (2) the total taxable value of the real property and
6 improvements to the real property zoned by the one or more
7 home-rule municipalities for residential purposes and located
8 within the district does not exceed 25 percent of the total taxable
9 value of all taxable property in the district, as shown by the most
10 recent certified appraisal tax roll prepared by the appraisal
11 district for the county; and

12 (3) the district was not required by law to obtain
13 commission approval of its bonds before the effective date of this
14 subsection.

15 SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

16 SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the
17 crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an
18 emergency and an imperative public necessity that the
19 constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several
20 days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

HOUSE ENGROSSMENT

By Marchant

H.B. No. 1189

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

relating to the authority of the Texas Water Commission over
issuance of certain water district bonds.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Section 50.107, Water Code, is amended to read as
follows:

Sec. 50.107. AUTHORITY OF COMMISSION OVER [COMMISSION
APPROVAL-OF] ISSUANCE OF DISTRICT BONDS. (a) Notwithstanding any
other law to the contrary, a district created under Article XVI,
Section 59, of the Texas Constitution, the boundaries of which
include less than the total area of one county, may not issue bonds
that will be paid wholly or partially by taxes levied by the
district unless the commission determines that the project to be
financed by the bonds is feasible and issues an order approving the
bonds. This section does not apply to refunding bonds.

(b) A district governed by Subsection (a) of this section
may submit to the commission a written application for
investigation of feasibility. An engineer's report describing the
project, including the data, profiles, maps, plans, and
specifications prepared in connection with the report, must be
submitted with the application.

(c) The executive director shall examine the application and
the report and shall inspect the project area. The district shall,
on request, supply the executive director with additional data and

1 information necessary for an investigation of the application, the
2 engineer's report, and the project.

3 (d) The executive director shall prepare a written report on
4 the project and include suggestions, if any, for changes or
5 improvements in the project. The executive director shall retain a
6 copy of the report and send a copy of the report to both the
7 commission and the district.

8 (e) The commission shall consider the application, the
9 engineer's report, the executive director's report, and any other
10 evidence allowed by commission rule to be considered in determining
11 the feasibility of the project.

12 (f) The commission shall determine whether the project to be
13 financed by the bonds is feasible and issue an order either
14 approving or disapproving, as appropriate, the issuance of the
15 bonds. The commission shall retain a copy of the order and send a
16 copy of the order to the district.

17 (g) Notwithstanding any provision of this code to the
18 contrary, the commission may approve the issuance of bonds of a
19 district without the submission of plans and specifications of the
20 improvements to be financed with the bonds. The commission may
21 condition the approval on any [7-if-the-bond-proceeds-which-are-to
22 be--used--to--finance--the--improvements--for---which---plans---and
23 specifications--are--not--submitted--are-deposited-in-eserew-with-a
24 bank--under] terms or [and] conditions considered appropriate
25 [ordered] by the commission. [The-bond-proceeds-held-in-eserew
26 shall-be-released-to-the-district-when-plans-and-specifications-are
27 submitted-to-and-approved-by-the-commission.]

1 (h) This section does not apply to a district created by a
2 special Act of the legislature if:

3 (1) the district is located entirely within one county
4 and entirely within one or more home-rule municipalities;

5 (2) the total taxable value of the real property and
6 improvements to the real property zoned by the one or more
7 home-rule municipalities for residential purposes and located
8 within the district does not exceed 25 percent of the total taxable
9 value of all taxable property in the district, as shown by the most
10 recent certified appraisal tax roll prepared by the appraisal
11 district for the county; and

12 (3) the district was not required by law to obtain
13 commission approval of its bonds before the effective date of this
14 subsection.

15 SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

16 SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the
17 crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an
18 emergency and an imperative public necessity that the
19 constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several
20 days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE

March 14, 1989

TO: Honorable Terral Smith, Chair
Committee on Natural Resources
House of Representatives
Austin, Texas

In Re: House Bill No. 1189
By: Marchant

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1189 (relating to the authority of the Texas Water Commission over issuance of certain water district bonds) this office has determined the following:

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

The bill would prohibit certain districts created under Article XVI, Section 59 of the Constitution from issuing bonds payable from taxes unless the Water Commission finds that the project to be financed is feasible and approves the bonds.

The bill would make an additional 186 districts subject to the Commission's bond review and approval authority. During the last two years these districts issued \$120,000,000 per year in tax bonds. Assuming the same level of bond issuance activity local governments would pay \$300,000 per year (0.25 percent x \$120,000,000) in fees to cover bond review costs. The cost to the Water Commission is the cost of reviewing financial data and engineering reports.

The probable fiscal implication of implementing the provisions of the bill during each of the first five years following passage is estimated as follows:

Fiscal Year	Probable Cost Out of Water Quality Fund No. 153	Probable Fee Revenue to Water Quality Fund No. 153	Probable Cost to Units of Local Government (Bond Issuance Fees)	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 1989
1990	\$183,799	\$300,000	\$300,000	+ 4
1991	168,799	300,000	300,000	+ 4
1992	168,799	300,000	300,000	+ 4
1993	168,799	300,000	300,000	+ 4
1994	168,799	300,000	300,000	+ 4

Similar annual fiscal implications would continue as long as the provisions of the bill are in effect.

Criminal Justice Policy Impact Statement: No change in the sanctions applicable to adults convicted of felony crimes is anticipated.

Source: Water Commission;
LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, WRC, LV

REQUEST FOR LOCAL & UNCONTESTED CALENDAR
PLACEMENT

Hon. Bill Sims, Chairman
Administration Committee

Sir:

Notice is hereby given that HB 1189, by: Sanford,
was heard by the Committee on Natural Resources on 5-10, 1989,
and reported out with the recommendation that it be placed on the Local and Uncontested
Calendar.

James M. Stewart
Clerk of the reporting committee

IMPORTANT: A COPY OF THIS FORM MUST BE ATTACHED TO A PRINTED COPY OF
THE BILL OR RESOLUTION, WHICH ALONG WITH 14 ADDITIONAL COPIES OF THE
BILL OR RESOLUTION SHOULD BE DELIVERED TO THE OFFICE OF THE
COMMITTEE ON ADMINISTRATION, ROOM 419. PLEASE CALL 3-0350 IF YOU HAVE
ANY QUESTIONS. DEADLINE FOR SUBMITTING BILLS FOR THE LOCAL CALENDAR
IS 5:00 P.M. FRIDAY.

Paper clip the original to the bill; retain one copy for reporting committee files; deliver one copy to the bill sponsor.

SENATE FAVORABLE COMMITTEE REPORT

Lt. Governor William P. Hobby
President of the Senate

11
5-10-89
(date)/(time)

Sir:

We, your Committee on NATURAL RESOURCES to which was referred
HB 1189 by MARCHANT have on 5-10, 1989, had the same
(measure) (sponsor) (hearing date)

under consideration and I am instructed to report it back with the recommendation (s) that it

☒ do pass and be printed

☐ do pass and be ordered not printed

☒ and is recommended for placement on the Local and Uncontested Bills Calendar.

A fiscal note was requested. ☒ yes ☐ no

A revised fiscal note was requested. ☐ yes ☐ no

An actuarial analysis was requested. ☐ yes ☐ no

Considered by subcommittee. ☐ yes ☐ no

Senate Sponsor of House Measure Santesteban

The measure was reported from Committee by the following vote:

	YEA	NAY	PNV	ABSENT
Santesteban, Chairman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Lyon, Vice Chairman				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Armbrister				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Bivins	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Brown	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Carriker	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Montford	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Ratliff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Sims	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>			
Uribe				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Zaffirini				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
TOTAL VOTES	7			4

Grace M. Clement COMMITTEE CLERK Santesteban CHAIRMAN

By: Marchant (Senate Sponsor - Santiesteban) H.B. No. 1189
(In the Senate - Received from the House May 8, 1989;
May 9, 1989, read first time and referred to Committee on Natural
Resources; May 11, 1989, reported favorably by the following vote:
Yeas 7, Nays 0; May 11, 1989, sent to printer.)

COMMITTEE VOTE

	Yea	Nay	PNV	Absent
Santiesteban	x			
Lyon				x
Armbrister				x
Bivins	x			
Brown	x			
Carriker	x			
Montford	x			
Ratliff	x			
Sims	x			
Uribe				x
Zaffirini				x

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED
AN ACT

relating to the authority of the Texas Water Commission over
issuance of certain water district bonds.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Section 50.107, Water Code, is amended to read as
follows:

Sec. 50.107. AUTHORITY OF COMMISSION OVER [COMMISSION
APPROVAL--OF] ISSUANCE OF DISTRICT BONDS. (a) Notwithstanding any
other law to the contrary, a district created under Article XVI,
Section 59, of the Texas Constitution, the boundaries of which
include less than the total area of one county, may not issue bonds
that will be paid wholly or partially by taxes levied by the
district unless the commission determines that the project to be
financed by the bonds is feasible and issues an order approving the
bonds. This section does not apply to refunding bonds.

(b) A district governed by Subsection (a) of this section
may submit to the commission a written application for
investigation of feasibility. An engineer's report describing the
project, including the data, profiles, maps, plans, and
specifications prepared in connection with the report, must be
submitted with the application.

(c) The executive director shall examine the application and
the report and shall inspect the project area. The district shall,
on request, supply the executive director with additional data and
information necessary for an investigation of the application, the
engineer's report, and the project.

(d) The executive director shall prepare a written report on
the project and include suggestions, if any, for changes or
improvements in the project. The executive director shall retain a
copy of the report and send a copy of the report to both the
commission and the district.

(e) The commission shall consider the application, the
engineer's report, the executive director's report, and any other
evidence allowed by commission rule to be considered in determining
the feasibility of the project.

(f) The commission shall determine whether the project to be
financed by the bonds is feasible and issue an order either
approving or disapproving, as appropriate, the issuance of the
bonds. The commission shall retain a copy of the order and send a
copy of the order to the district.

(g) Notwithstanding any provision of this code to the
contrary, the commission may approve the issuance of bonds of a
district without the submission of plans and specifications of the
improvements to be financed with the bonds. The commission may
condition the approval on any [7-if-the-bond-proceeds-which-are--to
be---used---to---finance--the--improvements--for--which--plans--and
specifications-are-not-submitted-are-deposited--in--escrow--with--a

bank--under] terms or [and] conditions considered appropriate
[ordered] by the commission. [The-bond--proceeds--held--in--eserew
shall-be-released-to-the-district-when-plans-and-specifications-are
submitted-to-and-approved-by-the-commission.]

(h) This section does not apply to a district created by a
special Act of the legislature if:

(1) the district is located entirely within one county
and entirely within one or more home-rule municipalities;

(2) the total taxable value of the real property and
improvements to the real property zoned by the one or more
home-rule municipalities for residential purposes and located
within the district does not exceed 25 percent of the total taxable
value of all taxable property in the district, as shown by the most
recent certified appraisal tax roll prepared by the appraisal
district for the county; and

(3) the district was not required by law to obtain
commission approval of its bonds before the effective date of this
subsection.

SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the
crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an
emergency and an imperative public necessity that the
constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several
days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

* * * * *

Austin, Texas
May 11, 1989

Hon. William P. Hobby
President of the Senate

Sir:

We, your Committee on Natural Resources to which was referred H.B.
No. 1189, have had the same under consideration, and I am
instructed to report it back to the Senate with the recommendation
that it do pass and be printed.

Santiesteban, Chairman

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE

May 10, 1989

TO: Honorable H. Tati Santiesteban, Chairman
Committee on Natural Resources
Senate Chamber
Austin, Texas

In Re: House Bill No. 1189,
as engrossed
By: Marchant

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1189, as engrossed (relating to the authority of the Texas Water Commission over issuance of certain water district bonds) this office has determined the following:

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

The bill would prohibit certain districts created under Article XVI, Section 59 of the Constitution from issuing bonds payable from taxes unless the Water Commission finds that the project to be financed is feasible and approves the bonds.

The probable fiscal implication of implementing the provisions of the bill during each of the first five years following passage is estimated as follows:

<u>Fiscal Year</u>	<u>Probable Cost Out of Water Quality Fund No. 153</u>	<u>Probable Fee Revenue to Water Quality Fund No. 153</u>	<u>Probable Cost to Units of Local Government (Bond Issuance Fees)</u>	<u>Change in Number of State Employees from FY 1989</u>
1990	\$183,799	\$300,000	\$260,000	+ 4
1991	168,799	300,000	260,000	+ 4
1992	168,799	300,000	260,000	+ 4
1993	168,799	300,000	260,000	+ 4
1994	168,799	300,000	260,000	+ 4

Similar annual fiscal implications would continue as long as the provisions of the bill are in effect.

Source: Water Commission;
LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, WRC, BL

LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD

Austin, Texas

FISCAL NOTE

March 14, 1989

TO: Honorable Terral Smith, Chair
Committee on Natural Resources
House of Representatives
Austin, Texas

In Re: House Bill No. 1189
By: Marchant

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Bill No. 1189 (relating to the authority of the Texas Water Commission over issuance of certain water district bonds) this office has determined the following:

The bill would make no appropriation but could provide the legal basis for an appropriation of funds to implement the provisions of the bill.

The bill would prohibit certain districts created under Article XVI, Section 59 of the Constitution from issuing bonds payable from taxes unless the Water Commission finds that the project to be financed is feasible and approves the bonds.

The bill would make an additional 186 districts subject to the Commission's bond review and approval authority. During the last two years these districts issued \$120,000,000 per year in tax bonds. Assuming the same level of bond issuance activity local governments would pay \$300,000 per year (0.25 percent x \$120,000,000) in fees to cover bond review costs. The cost to the Water Commission is the cost of reviewing financial data and engineering reports.

The probable fiscal implication of implementing the provisions of the bill during each of the first five years following passage is estimated as follows:

Fiscal Year	Probable Cost Out of Water Quality Fund No. 153	Probable Fee Revenue to Water Quality Fund No. 153	Probable Cost to Units of Local Government (Bond Issuance Fees)	Change in Number of State Employees from FY 1989
1990	\$183,799	\$300,000	\$300,000	+ 4
1991	168,799	300,000	300,000	+ 4
1992	168,799	300,000	300,000	+ 4
1993	168,799	300,000	300,000	+ 4
1994	168,799	300,000	300,000	+ 4

Similar annual fiscal implications would continue as long as the provisions of the bill are in effect.

Criminal Justice Policy Impact Statement: No change in the sanctions applicable to adults convicted of felony crimes is anticipated.

Source: Water Commission;
LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, WRC, LV

ENROLLED

H.B. No. 1189

AN ACT

relating to the authority of the Texas Water Commission over issuance of certain water district bonds.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. Section 50.107, Water Code, is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 50.107. AUTHORITY OF COMMISSION OVER [COMMISSION APPROVAL-OF] ISSUANCE OF DISTRICT BONDS. (a) Notwithstanding any other law to the contrary, a district created under Article XVI, Section 59, of the Texas Constitution, the boundaries of which include less than the total area of one county, may not issue bonds that will be paid wholly or partially by taxes levied by the district unless the commission determines that the project to be financed by the bonds is feasible and issues an order approving the bonds. This section does not apply to refunding bonds.

(b) A district governed by Subsection (a) of this section may submit to the commission a written application for investigation of feasibility. An engineer's report describing the project, including the data, profiles, maps, plans, and specifications prepared in connection with the report, must be submitted with the application.

(c) The executive director shall examine the application and the report and shall inspect the project area. The district shall, on request, supply the executive director with additional data and

1 information necessary for an investigation of the application, the
2 engineer's report, and the project.

3 (d) The executive director shall prepare a written report on
4 the project and include suggestions, if any, for changes or
5 improvements in the project. The executive director shall retain a
6 copy of the report and send a copy of the report to both the
7 commission and the district.

8 (e) The commission shall consider the application, the
9 engineer's report, the executive director's report, and any other
10 evidence allowed by commission rule to be considered in determining
11 the feasibility of the project.

12 (f) The commission shall determine whether the project to be
13 financed by the bonds is feasible and issue an order either
14 approving or disapproving, as appropriate, the issuance of the
15 bonds. The commission shall retain a copy of the order and send a
16 copy of the order to the district.

17 (g) Notwithstanding any provision of this code to the
18 contrary, the commission may approve the issuance of bonds of a
19 district without the submission of plans and specifications of the
20 improvements to be financed with the bonds. The commission may
21 condition the approval on any [7--if-the-bond-proceeds-which-are-to
22 be--used--to--finance--the--improvements--for---which---plans---and
23 specifications--are--not--submitted--are-deposited-in-escrow-with-a
24 bank--under] terms or [and] conditions considered appropriate
25 [ordered] by the commission. [The-bond-proceeds-held-in-escrow
26 shall-be-released-to-the-district-when-plans-and-specifications-are
27 submitted-to-and-approved-by-the-commission.]

1 (h) This section does not apply to a district created by a
2 special Act of the legislature if:

3 (1) the district is located entirely within one county
4 and entirely within one or more home-rule municipalities;

5 (2) the total taxable value of the real property and
6 improvements to the real property zoned by the one or more
7 home-rule municipalities for residential purposes and located
8 within the district does not exceed 25 percent of the total taxable
9 value of all taxable property in the district, as shown by the most
10 recent certified appraisal tax roll prepared by the appraisal
11 district for the county; and

12 (3) the district was not required by law to obtain
13 commission approval of its bonds before the effective date of this
14 subsection.

15 SECTION 2. This Act takes effect September 1, 1989.

16 SECTION 3. The importance of this legislation and the
17 crowded condition of the calendars in both houses create an
18 emergency and an imperative public necessity that the
19 constitutional rule requiring bills to be read on three several
20 days in each house be suspended, and this rule is hereby suspended.

H.B. No. 1189

President of the Senate

Speaker of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 1189 was passed by the House on May 5, 1989, by a non-record vote.

Chief Clerk of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 1189 was passed by the Senate on May 20, 1989, by the following vote: Yeas 31, Nays 0.

Secretary of the Senate

APPROVED: _____

Date

Governor

President of the Senate

Speaker of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 1189[✓] was passed by the House
(1)

on May 5[✓], 1989, by a non-record vote.
(2)

Chief Clerk of the House

I certify that H.B. No. 1189[✓] was passed by the Senate

on May 20[✓], 1989, by the following vote:
(3)

Yeas 31[✓], Nays 0[✓]
(4) (5)

Secretary of the Senate

APPROVED:

Date

Governor

**** Preparation: 'A;CT27;

H. B. No.

1189

By

Mark J. [Signature]

MAY 5 1989

A BILL TO BE ENTITLED

AN ACT

relating to the authority of the Texas Water Commission over issuance of certain water district bonds.

FEB 16 1989

1. Filed with the Chief Clerk.

MAR 1 1989

2. Read first time and Referred to Committee on

Natural Resources

APR 19 1989

3. Reported favorably ^(as amended) ~~(as substituted)~~ and sent to Printer at 8:30pm

APR 20 1989

APR 21 1989

4. Printed and distributed at 5:03pm

APR 24 1989

5. Sent to Committee on Calendars at 4:35pm

MAY 4 1989

6. Read second time ^{as subs.} ~~(amended)~~; passed to third reading ~~(failed)~~ by (Non-Record Vote) ~~(Record Vote of _____ years, _____ nays, _____ present, not voting).~~

7. Motion to reconsider and table the vote by which H.B. _____ was ordered engrossed prevailed (failed) by a (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of _____ years, _____ nays, and _____ present, not voting).

8. Constitutional Rule requiring bills to be read on three several days suspended (failed to suspend) by a four-fifths vote of _____ years, _____ nays, and _____ present, not voting.

9. Read third time (~~amended~~); finally passed (~~failed~~) by (Non-Record Vote) ~~(Record Vote of _____ years, _____ nays, _____ present, not voting).~~

10. Caption ordered amended to conform to body of bill.

11. Motion to reconsider and table the vote by which H. B. _____ was finally passed prevailed (failed) by a (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of _____ years, _____ nays, and _____ present, not voting).

MAY 5 1989

12. Ordered Engrossed at 11:59 am

MAY 5 1989

13. Engrossed.

MAY 5 1989

14. Returned to Chief Clerk at 8:17pm

MAY 8 1989

15. Sent to Senate.

Betty Murray
Chief Clerk of the House

MAY 8 1989

16. Received from the House

MAY 9 1989

17. Read, referred to Committee on NATURAL RESOURCES

MAY 11 1989

18. Reported favorably

19. Reported adversely, with favorable Committee Substitute; Committee Substitute read first time.

20. Ordered not printed.

21. Regular order of business suspended by

(a viva voce vote.)

(_____ years, _____ nays.)

89 MAY -5 PM 8:17

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

MAY 20 1989

22. To permit consideration, reading and passage, Senate and Constitutional Rules suspended by vote of _____ yeas, _____ nays.

23. Read second time Laid before Senate passed to third reading by:

(viva voce vote)

(_____ yeas, _____ nays.)

24. Caption ordered amended to conform to body of bill.

MAY 20 1989

25. Senate and Constitutional 3-Day Rules suspended by vote of 30 yeas, 1 nays to place bill on third reading and final passage.

MAY 20 1989

26. Read third time and passed by

(viva voce vote)

(91 yeas, 0 nays.)

OTHER ACTION:

OTHER ACTION:

Betty King
Secretary of the Senate

May 20, 1989

27. Returned to the House.

MAY 20 1989

28. Received from the Senate (with amendments)
(as substituted)

29. House (Concurred) (Refused to Concur) in Senate (Amendments) (Substitute) by a (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of _____ yeas, _____ nays, _____ present, not voting).

30. Conference Committee Ordered.

31. Conference Committee Report Adopted (Rejected) by a (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of _____ yeas, _____ nays, and _____ present, not voting).

MAY 20 1989

32. Ordered Enrolled at 11:00 pm

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES
89 APR 21 PM 5:03
89 MAY -5 PM 4:08

11811